



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/626,409	07/24/2003	Byard G. Nilsson	P20	1073
7590 06/06/2005		EXAMINER		
Byard G. Nilsson 2064 Santa Margarita Drive			GANTT, ALAN T	
Fallbrook, CA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2684	
			DATE MAILED: 06/06/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summers	10/626,409	NILSSON, BYARD G.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Alan T. Gantt	2684			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Ju	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 July 2003</u> .				
,	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 13-22 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-22</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-18</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	pted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary ((PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te			
3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☑ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 72403. 6) ☑ Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/626,409

Art Unit: 2684

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim 13 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim75 of U.S. Patent No. 6,647,255. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because in the preamble current claim 13 speaks of "... controlling at least one or more processors to perform a method for activating and using mobile telephone instruments for communication with select remote telephone terminals ... ", while the preamble of claim 75 speaks of "... programming one or more processors to perform a method of accomplishing a connection from keyless mobile telephone instruments to a select remote telephone terminal ... " Obviously, programming is a means of controlling the one or more processors. Also, the limitation regarding "confirming" of the current application contains added language ("initially for basis owner data to enable the mobile telephone instrument for communication use, and subsequently,") and thus,

Application/Control Number: 10/626,409

Art Unit: 2684

is narrower than the similar limitation of claim 75. Thus, the current claim is at least an obvious

extension of the patented claim.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 19-22 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claim 19, the utilization of transfer code signal representing a transfer command as

part of the functional steps for the processor of the claim was neither found, suggested, nor made

evident by the prior art.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be addressed to

Alan Gantt at telephone number (571) 272-7878. The examiner can normally be reached

between 9:30 AM and 6 PM within the Eastern Time Zone. The group FAX number is (703)

872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to this application should be directed to

Supervisory Patent Examiner Nay Maung at telephone number (571) 272-7882.

Alan T. Gantt

May 28, 2005

NICK CORSARO EXAMINER

Page 3